





## REFERENCES

- [1] V. Bilò, A. Fanelli, and L. Moscardelli. 2017. On lookahead equilibria in congestion games. *Mathematical Structures in Computer Science* 27, 2 (2017), 197–214.
- [2] V. Bilò, M. Flammini, G. Monaco, and L. Moscardelli. 2015. Some Anomalies of Farsighted Strategic Behavior. *Theory of Computing Systems* 56, 1 (2015), 156–180.
- [3] J. Correa, J. de Jong, B. Keijzer, and M. Uetz. 2015. The Curse of Sequentiality in Routing Games. In *Proceedings of the 11th International Conference on Web and Internet Economics (WINE)*. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 258–271.
- [4] J. de Jong. 2016. *Quality of equilibria in resource allocation games*. Ph.D. Dissertation. University of Twente.
- [5] D. Fotakis. 2008. Congestion Games with Linearly Independent Paths: Convergence Time and Price of Anarchy. *Theory of Computing Systems* 47, 1 (2008), 113–136.
- [6] D. Fotakis, S. Kontogiannis, and P. Spirakis. 2006. *Symmetry in Network Congestion Games: Pure Equilibria and Anarchy Cost*. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 161–175.
- [7] E. Gassner, J. Hatzl, S. O. Krumke, H. Sperber, and G. J. Woeginger. 2009. How hard is it to find extreme Nash equilibria in network congestion games? *Theoretical Computer Science* 410, 47 (2009), 4989–4999.
- [8] C. Groenland and G. Schäfer. 2018. The Curse of Ties in Congestion Games with Limited Lookahead. (2018). <http://arxiv.org/abs/1804.07107>.
- [9] E. Koutsoupias and C. Papadimitriou. 2009. Worst-case Equilibria. *Computer Science Review* 3, 2 (2009), 65–69.
- [10] C. Kroer and T. Sandholm. 2015. Limited Lookahead in Imperfect-information Games. In *Proceedings of the 24th International Conference on Artificial Intelligence (IJCAI)*. AAAI Press, Buenos Aires, Argentina, 575–581.
- [11] S. Kuniavsky and R. Smorodinsky. 2013. Greediness and equilibrium in congestion games. *Economics Letters* 121, 3 (2013), 499–503.
- [12] I. Milchtaich. 1998. Crowding games are sequentially solvable. *International Journal of Game Theory* 27 (1998), 501–509.
- [13] V. S. Mirrokni, N. Thain, and A. Vetta. 2012. A Theoretical Examination of Practical Game Playing: Lookahead Search. In *Proceedings of the Fifth International Symposium on Algorithmic Game Theory (SAGT)*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 251–262.
- [14] R. Paes Leme, V. Syrgkanis, and E. Tardos. 2012. The Curse of Simultaneity. In *Proceedings of the 3rd Innovations in Theoretical Computer Science Conference (ITCS '12)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 60–67.
- [15] R. Selten. 1965. Spieltheoretische Behandlung eines Oligopolmodells mit Nachfragetraegheit. *Zeitschrift fur die gesamte Staatswissenschaft* 12 (1965), 301–324.
- [16] C. E. Shannon. 1950. Programming a Computer for Playing Chess. *Philosophical Magazine* 41, 314 (1950), 256–275.
- [17] H. Sperber. 2010. How to find Nash equilibria with extreme total latency in network congestion games? *Mathematical Methods of Operations Research* 71, 2 (2010), 245–265.