

Design and Analysis of Networks under Strategic Behavior

Doctoral Consortium

Sixie Yu

Washington University in St Louis

sixie.yu@wustl.edu

ABSTRACT

Networks are enablers, allowing the diffusion of valuable information. But just as a network is a conduit for valuable information, so it is for misinformation. One major challenge in a networked environment is limiting the spread of misinformation. Consider a large online social network, such as Twitter. Unethical users spread anti-social posts, which negatively affect other users and damage community dynamics [6], fraudsters send spam and phishing emails that threaten people’s financial security [7], accounts occupied by malicious parties spread toxic information (e.g., hate speech, fake news), stirring up controversy and manipulating political views among social network users [1], fake reviews posted by bots mislead consumers’ decision making [15], etc.

An intuitive idea to limit the spread of misinformation is removing malicious nodes from networks, for example, terminate accounts on Twitter that spread spam. Importantly, a principled method to decide which nodes to remove from a network has wide applications; in the case of infectious disease, the inoculation of a group of people is essentially “removing” them from the contagion network [2, 5, 8, 12, 16–19]. A critical observation is that the loss associated with a decision whether to remove a node depends both on the node’s likelihood of being malicious and its local network structure. Consequently, the typical approach in which we simply classify nodes as malicious or benign using a threshold on the associated maliciousness probability [10] is inadequate, as it fails to account for network consequences of such decisions. Rather, the problem is fundamentally about choosing which subset of nodes to remove, as decisions about removing individual nodes are no longer independent. We developed a model that provides decisions about which nodes to remove [20]. The model considers both the likelihood of nodes being malicious and their local network structures. Several algorithmic insights are derived from studying the model, including hardness results, as well as approximation algorithms. Our ongoing effort focuses on making the model scalable to large-scale networks.

Another challenge in a networked environment arises when taking individuals’ strategic behavior into account. When facing strategic individuals, game theory is a powerful tool to model their interaction. Many game-theoretic models have been proposed to model strategic behavior on networks, e.g., graphical games [13], networked public goods game [3, 4, 11], etc. Among these models, the research on equilibrium outcomes has attracted much attention. In particular, equilibrium outcomes are not always socially preferable. When the equilibrium outcomes are not socially preferable, a principal may be interested in changing the parameters of the game

so as to induce equilibrium outcomes that are better aligned with the social interest. Changing the payment structure is one way to promote preferable equilibria, as in traditional mechanism design, or the structure of information available to the players [9], another parameter subject to change is the network structure itself. A prominent challenge in a networked environment is to induce desirable equilibrium outcomes through modifying network structures.

We initiated an algorithmic study of network structure modifications in networked public goods games with binary actions, with the goal of inducing equilibrium outcomes with desirable properties [14, 21]. Such desirable properties are application dependent, for example, in the case of crime prevention, it would be desirable to encourage as many individuals in a community to invest in safety service as possible. From a wider perspective, our study is categorized into *network design*. One interpretation of network design is through the lens of optimization, that is, a principal has an objective in mind and she optimizes over the underlying network to achieve the objective. Many interesting questions arise from the angle of optimization, for example, what is the objective, how should we define the feasible region of the modifications, is the design choice robust, etc. These questions consist of our ongoing and future research plan.

KEYWORDS

Game Theory; Machine Learning; Network Design; Games on Networks; Optimization; Algorithm Design; Relational Learning

ACM Reference Format:

Sixie Yu. 2021. Design and Analysis of Networks under Strategic Behavior: Doctoral Consortium. In *Proc. of the 20th International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems (AAMAS 2021), Online, May 3–7, 2021*, IFAAMAS, 2 pages.

REFERENCES

- [1] Hunt Allcott and Matthew Gentzkow. 2017. Social media and fake news in the 2016 election. *Journal of economic perspectives* 31, 2 (2017), 211–36.
- [2] James Aspnes, Kevin Chang, and Aleksandr Yampolskiy. 2006. Inoculation strategies for victims of viruses and the sum-of-squares partition problem. *J. Comput. System Sci.* 72, 6 (2006), 1077–1093.
- [3] Yann Bramoullé and Rachel Kranton. 2007. Public goods in networks. *Journal of Economic Theory* 135, 1 (2007), 478–494.
- [4] Yann Bramoullé, Rachel Kranton, and Martin D’amours. 2014. Strategic interaction and networks. *American Economic Review* 104, 3 (2014), 898–930.
- [5] Po-An Chen, Mary David, and David Kempe. 2010. Better vaccination strategies for better people. In *Proceedings of the 11th ACM conference on Electronic commerce*. 179–188.
- [6] Justin Cheng, Cristian Danescu-Niculescu-Mizil, and Jure Leskovec. 2015. Antisocial behavior in online discussion communities. In *Proceedings of the 9th International AAAI Conference on Web and Social Media*.
- [7] Gordon V Cormack. 2007. Email spam filtering: A systematic review. *Foundations and Trends in Information Retrieval* 1, 4 (2007), 335–455.
- [8] Kimon Drakopoulos, Asuman Ozdaglar, and John N Tsitsiklis. 2014. An efficient curing policy for epidemics on graphs. *IEEE Transactions on Network Science and Engineering* 1, 2 (2014), 67–75.

- [9] Shaddin Dughmi. 2017. Algorithmic information structure design: a survey. *ACM SIGecom Exchanges* 15, 2 (2017), 2–24.
- [10] Charles Elkan. 2001. The foundations of cost-sensitive learning. In *Proceedings of the 17th International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence (IJCAI)*, Vol. 17. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates Ltd, 973–978.
- [11] Michal Feldman, David Kempe, Brendan Lucier, and Renato Paes Leme. [n.d.]. Pricing Public Goods for Private Sale. In *Proceedings of the 14th ACM Conference on Electronic Commerce (EC)*.
- [12] Eric Gourdin, Jasmina Omic, and Piet Van Mieghem. 2011. Optimization of network protection against virus spread. In *2011 8th International Workshop on the Design of Reliable Communication Networks (DRCN)*. IEEE, 86–93.
- [13] Michael Kearns, Michael L. Littman, and Satinder Singh. 2001. Graphical models for game theory. In *Proceedings of the 17th Conference on Uncertainty in Artificial Intelligence (UAI)*. 253–260.
- [14] David Kempe, Sixie Yu, and Yevgeniy Vorobeychik. 2020. Inducing Equilibria in Networked Public Goods Games through Network Structure Modification. In *Proceedings of the 19th International Conference on Autonomous Agents and MultiAgent Systems*. 611–619.
- [15] Arjun Mukherjee, Vivek Venkataraman, Bing Liu, and Natalie Glance. 2013. What yelp fake review filter might be doing?. In *Seventh international AAAI conference on weblogs and social media*.
- [16] Cameron Nowzari, Victor M Preciado, and George J Pappas. 2015. Optimal resource allocation for control of networked epidemic models. *IEEE Transactions on Control of Network Systems* 4, 2 (2015), 159–169.
- [17] Cameron Nowzari, Victor M Preciado, and George J Pappas. 2016. Analysis and control of epidemics: A survey of spreading processes on complex networks. *IEEE Control Systems Magazine* 36, 1 (2016), 26–46.
- [18] Victor M Preciado, Michael Zargham, Chinwendu Enyioha, Ali Jadbabaie, and George Pappas. 2013. Optimal vaccine allocation to control epidemic outbreaks in arbitrary networks. In *52nd IEEE conference on decision and control*. IEEE, 7486–7491.
- [19] Victor M Preciado, Michael Zargham, Chinwendu Enyioha, Ali Jadbabaie, and George J Pappas. 2014. Optimal resource allocation for network protection against spreading processes. *IEEE Transactions on Control of Network Systems* 1, 1 (2014), 99–108.
- [20] Sixie Yu and Yevgeniy Vorobeychik. 2019. Removing Malicious Nodes from Networks. In *Proceedings of the 18th International Conference on Autonomous Agents and MultiAgent Systems*. 314–322.
- [21] Sixie Yu, Kai Zhou, P Jeffrey Brantingham, and Yevgeniy Vorobeychik. 2020. Computing Equilibria in Binary Networked Public Goods Games.. In *AAAI*.