

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Baldwin. 1926. The Technique of the Nanson Preferential Majority System of Election. *Transactions and Proceedings of the Royal Society of Victoria* 39 (1926), 42–52.
- [2] D. Baumeister, P. Faliszewski, J. Lang, and J. Rothe. 2012. Campaigns for Lazy Voters: Truncated Ballots. In *Proceedings of the 11th International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems*. IFAAMAS, 577–584.
- [3] D. Baumeister and J. Rothe. 2015. Preference Aggregation by Voting. In *Economics and Computation. An Introduction to Algorithmic Game Theory, Computational Social Choice, and Fair Division*, J. Rothe (Ed.). Springer-Verlag, Chapter 4, 197–325.
- [4] N. Betzler, R. Niedermeier, and G. Woeginger. 2011. Unweighted Coalitional Manipulation under the Borda Rule Is NP-Hard. In *Proceedings of the 22nd International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*. AAAI Press/IJCAI, 55–60.
- [5] R. Bredereck, J. Chen, P. Faliszewski, A. Nichterlein, and R. Niedermeier. 2014. Prices Matter for the Parameterized Complexity of Shift Bribery. In *Proceedings of the 28th AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*. AAAI Press, 1398–1404.
- [6] V. Conitzer and T. Walsh. 2016. Barriers to Manipulation in Voting. In *Handbook of Computational Social Choice*, F. Brandt, V. Conitzer, U. Endriss, J. Lang, and A. Procaccia (Eds.). Cambridge University Press, Chapter 6, 127–145.
- [7] J. Davies, G. Katsirelos, N. Narodytska, T. Walsh, and L. Xia. 2014. Complexity of and Algorithms for the Manipulation of Borda, Nanson’s and Baldwin’s Voting Rules. *Artificial Intelligence* 217 (2014), 20–42.
- [8] E. Elkind and P. Faliszewski. 2010. Approximation Algorithms for Campaign Management. In *Proceedings of the 6th International Workshop on Internet & Network Economics*. Springer-Verlag *Lecture Notes in Computer Science* #6484, 473–482.
- [9] E. Elkind, P. Faliszewski, and A. Slinko. 2009. Swap Bribery. In *Proceedings of the 2nd International Symposium on Algorithmic Game Theory*. Springer-Verlag *Lecture Notes in Computer Science* #5814, 299–310.
- [10] P. Faliszewski, E. Hemaspaandra, and L. Hemaspaandra. 2009. How Hard Is Bribery in Elections? *Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research* 35 (2009), 485–532.
- [11] P. Faliszewski, E. Hemaspaandra, L. Hemaspaandra, and J. Rothe. 2009. Llull and Copeland Voting Computationally Resist Bribery and Constructive Control. *Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research* 35 (2009), 275–341.
- [12] P. Faliszewski, Y. Reisch, J. Rothe, and L. Schend. 2015. Complexity of Manipulation, Bribery, and Campaign Management in Bucklin and Fallback Voting. *Journal of Autonomous Agents and Multi-Agent Systems* 29, 6 (2015), 1091–1124.
- [13] P. Faliszewski and J. Rothe. 2016. Control and Bribery in Voting. In *Handbook of Computational Social Choice*, F. Brandt, V. Conitzer, U. Endriss, J. Lang, and A. Procaccia (Eds.). Cambridge University Press, Chapter 7, 146–168.
- [14] T. Gonzalez. 1985. Clustering to Minimize the Maximum Intercluster Distance. *Theoretical Computer Science* 38 (1985), 293–306.
- [15] A. Kaczmarski and P. Faliszewski. 2016. Algorithms for Destructive Shift Bribery. In *Proceedings of the 15th International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems*. IFAAMAS, 305–313.
- [16] K. Konczak and J. Lang. 2005. Voting Procedures with Incomplete Preferences. In *Proceedings of the Multidisciplinary IJCAI-05 Workshop on Advances in Preference Handling*. 124–129.
- [17] J. Levin and B. Nalebuff. 1995. An Introduction to Vote-Counting Schemes. *The Journal of Economic Perspectives* 9, 1 (1995), 3–26.
- [18] E. Nanson. 1882. Methods of Election. *Transactions and Proceedings of the Royal Society of Victoria* 19 (1882), 197–240.
- [19] S. Porschen, T. Schmidt, E. Speckenmeyer, and A. Wotzlaw. 2014. XSAT and NAE-SAT of Linear CNF Classes. *Discrete Applied Mathematics* 167 (2014), 1–14.
- [20] Y. Reisch, J. Rothe, and L. Schend. 2014. The Margin of Victory in Schulze, Cup, and Copeland Elections: Complexity of the Regular and Exact Variants. In *Proceedings of the 7th European Starting AI Researcher Symposium*. IOS Press, 250–259.
- [21] I. Schlotter, P. Faliszewski, and E. Elkind. 2011. Campaign Management under Approval-Driven Voting Rules. In *Proceedings of the 25th AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*. AAAI Press, 726–731.
- [22] A. Taylor. 1995. *Mathematics and Politics*. Springer-Verlag.
- [23] L. Xia. 2012. Computing the Margin of Victory for Various Voting Rules. In *Proceedings of the 13th ACM Conference on Electronic Commerce*. ACM Press, 982–999.
- [24] L. Xia and V. Conitzer. 2011. Determining Possible and Necessary Winners Given Partial Orders. *Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research* 41 (2011), 25–67.
- [25] W. Zwicker. 2016. Introduction to the Theory of Voting. In *Handbook of Computational Social Choice*, F. Brandt, V. Conitzer, U. Endriss, J. Lang, and A. Procaccia (Eds.). Cambridge University Press, Chapter 2, 23–56.