# Agent-Based Triangle Counting and its Applications in Anonymous Graphs

**Extended** Abstract

Prabhat Kumar Chand Indian Statistical Institute Kolkata, India pchand744@gmail.com Apurba Das BITS Pilani Hyderabad, India apurba@hyderabad.bits-pilani.ac.in Anisur Rahaman Molla Indian Statistical Institute Kolkata, India molla@isical.ac.in

## ABSTRACT

Triangle counting in graphs is a fundamental problem with a diverse application domain. In this paper, we propose a solution to the triangle counting problem in an anonymous graph using autonomous mobile agents. We further use the triangle count to address the *Truss Decomposition* problem which involves finding maximal subgraphs with strong interconnections. Truss decomposition helps in identifying maximal, highly interconnected sub-graphs, or trusses, within a network. Additionally, the triangle count is also used to compute two important metrics - *Triangle Centrality* and *Local Clustering Coefficient* for the nodes of the graph. Our goal is to devise algorithms that effectively solve these problems minimizing both the overall time complexity and the memory usage at each agent.

## **KEYWORDS**

Mobile Agents; Triangle Counting; *k*-Truss; Truss Decomposition; Triangle Centrality; Local Clustering Coefficient; Time Complexity; Space Complexity; Network Algorithms; Distributed Algorithms

#### ACM Reference Format:

Prabhat Kumar Chand, Apurba Das, and Anisur Rahaman Molla. 2024. Agent-Based Triangle Counting and its Applications in Anonymous Graphs: Extended Abstract. In Proc. of the 23rd International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems (AAMAS 2024), Auckland, New Zealand, May 6 – 10, 2024, IFAAMAS, 3 pages.

#### **1 INTRODUCTION AND RELATED WORK**

Triangle counting in graphs has received significant attention in recent decades, serving as a building block of complex network analysis. It is used for computing the clustering coefficient, one of the most used metrics for network analysis [5, 19, 25], and triangle centrality [1, 6, 15]. Triangle counting also plays a pivotal role in the hierarchical decomposition of a graph such as truss decomposition [24], an important hierarchical subgraph structure in community detection [2, 11]. In addition, Becchetti *et al.* [4] used triangle counts in detecting web spam and estimating the content quality of a web page. Other applications include query optimization in databases [3], link prediction in social networks [22], and community detection in system biology [12]. A detailed account of



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution International 4.0 License.

Proc. of the 23rd International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems (AAMAS 2024), N. Alechina, V. Dignum, M. Dastani, J.S. Sichman (eds.), May 6 – 10, 2024, Auckland, New Zealand. © 2024 International Foundation for Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems (www.ifaamas.org).

related works on triangle counting for various model set-ups may be found in [3, 4, 10, 14, 16, 20–23].

On the other hand, our agent-based model has been gaining significant attention recently. For example, there have been some recent works on positioning the agents on nodes of the graph G such that each agent's position collectively form the maximal independent set (MIS) [17, 18] or they identify a small dominating set [8] of G. Another related problem is of *dispersion* in which  $k \leq n$  agents are positioned on k different nodes of G, see [13] and the references therein. A solution to the dispersion problem guarantees that k agents are positioned on k different nodes; which is a requirement for the triangle counting problem defined in this paper. Exploration problem on graphs using mobile agents refers to solving a graph analytic task using one or more agents [9].

In this work, we consider triangle counting in a simple, undirected, anonymous graph using mobile agents and then use it as a sub-routine to solve the (i) *Truss Decomposition Problem* and compute (ii) *Triangle Centrality* and (iii) *Local Clustering Coefficient*. Our solution to the truss decomposition problem is based on *h-index* based parallel truss decomposition algorithm described in [26]. We study these problems from a theoretical perspective and aim to solve them while minimizing both time and memory-per-agent as much as possible. The full version of the paper can be found in [7].

## 2 PRELIMINARIES, PROBLEM AND RESULTS

#### 2.1 Model

We have G(V, E) - a connected, undirected, unweighted and anonymous graph with *n* nodes and *m* edges. The nodes of *G* do not have any identifiers and are memoryless. Edges incident on *v* are locally labelled using port numbers. The edges of the graph serve as *routes* through which the agents can commute.

We have a collection of n agents residing on the nodes of the graph in such a way that each node is occupied by a distinct agent at the start (known as *dispersed* configuration in literature). Each agent has a unique ID and memory to store information. Two or more agents can be present (*co-located*) at a node or pass through an edge in G. The agents operate in a synchronous system where they are synchronised to a common clock. We consider the local communication model where only co-located agents (i.e., agents at the same node) can communicate among themselves. An agent can perform a *Communicate* – *Compute* – *Move* task in a time unit, called *round*. The **time complexity** of an algorithm is the number of rounds required to achieve the goal. The **space complexity** is the number of bits required by each agent to execute the algorithm.

#### 2.2 Definitions

Definition 2.1 (support [26]). For a given graph G(V, E), the support of an edge  $e \in E$  is the number of triangles in G that contain e.

Definition 2.2 (k-truss [26]). k-truss is the largest sub-graph  $T_k$ of G(V, E) in which every edge has support  $\geq k - 2$  with respect to  $T_k$ . In case,  $T_k$  is a *null* graph, we say k-truss for G does not exist.

Definition 2.3 (trussness [26]). The trussness of an edge e, is defined as the maximum k such that e belongs to  $T_k$  but not to  $T_{k+1}$ .

Definition 2.4 (Triangle Centrality [6]). Triangle Centrality, TC(v)of a node  $v \in G$  is given by the equation:

$$TC(v) = \frac{\frac{1}{3}\sum_{u \in N_{\mathrm{T}}^+(v)} \mathrm{T}(u) + \sum_{w \in \{N(v) \setminus N_{\mathrm{T}}(v)\}} \mathrm{T}(w)}{T(G)}$$

where, where N(v) is the neighborhood set of v,  $N_{T}(v)$  is the set of neighbors that are in triangles with v, and  $N_{\rm T}^+(v)$  is the closed set that includes v. T(v) and T(G) denote the respective triangle counts with v as vertex and the total triangle count in G.

Definition 2.5 (Local Clustering Coefficient [19]). The Local Clus*tering Coefficient (LCC)* of a node  $v \in G$  is written as LCC(v) = $\frac{\dot{\mathbf{T}}(v)}{\delta(v)(\delta(v)-1)}$ , where  $\mathbf{T}(v)$  is the number of triangles with v as a vertex and  $\delta(v)$ , the degree of the node v.

#### 2.3 Problem Statements

Triangle Counting using Mobile Agents: Consider an undirected, simple, connected anonymous *n*-node graph G = (V, E) and a collection  $\mathcal{R} = \{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n\}$  of *n* agents, each of which is initially placed distinctly at each node of G. The n autonomous agents coordinate among themselves to solve the following problems.

- (a) Node-Based Triangle Counting: To count the number of triangles with a given node as a vertex.
- (b) Edge-Based Triangle Counting: To count the number of triangles based on a given edge.
- (c) Total Triangle Counting: To count the total number of triangles in the graph G.

Truss Decomposition, Triangle Centrality and Local Clustering Coefficient: Consider an undirected, simple, connected anonymous *n*-node graph G = (V, E) and a collection  $\mathcal{R} = \{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n\}$ of *n* agents, each of which is initially placed distinctly at each node of G. The n autonomous agents coordinate among themselves to solve the (i) Truss Decomposition Problem and compute (ii) Triangle Centrality and (iii) Local Clustering Coefficient of a given node.

#### 2.4 Our Results

Let G be an n node arbitrary, anonymous, simple, connected graph with *m* edges, maximum degree  $\Delta$  and diameter *D*. Let *n* mobile agents with distinct IDs with the highest ID  $\lambda$ , be placed at each of the n nodes of G in an initial dispersed configuration. Then, we have the following results:

THEOREM 2.6 (TRIANGLE COUNTING). Each agent  $r_i$  with  $O(\Delta \log n)$ bits of memory, can calculate the number of triangles with  $r_i$  as a *vertex in*  $O(\Delta \log \lambda)$  *rounds, the number of triangles based on each* of its adjacent edges in  $O(\Delta \log \lambda)$  rounds and the total number of triangles in G, in  $O(D\Delta \log \lambda)$  rounds.

THEOREM 2.7 (TRUSS DECOMPOSITION). The Truss Decomposition Problem for *G* can be solved by the mobile agents in  $O(m\Delta D \log \lambda)$ rounds with  $O(\Delta \log n)$  bits of memory per agent.

THEOREM 2.8 (TRIANGLE CENTRALITY). The Triangle Centrality of each node  $v \in G$  can be calculated in  $O(\Delta \log \lambda)$  rounds if T(G) is known and in  $O(D\Delta \log \lambda)$  rounds, if T(G) is unknown. T(G) is the total triangle count of the graph G.

THEOREM 2.9 (LOCAL CLUSTERING COEFFICIENT). The Local Clustering Coefficient of each node  $v \in G$ , LCC(v) can be calculated in  $O(\Delta \log \lambda)$  rounds.

#### 3 TRIANGLE COUNTING VIA MOBILE AGENTS

In this section, we formulate algorithms for n mobile agents that are initially dispersed among the n nodes of the graph G to count the number of triangles within G. Due to the indistinguishable nature of the nodes, the algorithm relies on the memory and IDs of the mobile agents stationed on these nodes. Moreover, the limitation of communication only within the co-located agents and synchronising their movement emerges as an additional challenge.

Our algorithm operates in three phases:

- Phase 1 (Neighbourhood Discovery): Agents, symbolically representing their respective nodes, initially scan their neighbourhoods to gather information about adjacent nodes.
- Phase 2 (Common Neighborhood Counting): Once the neighbourhood information is collected, agents count the number of common neighbours with each adjacent agent. Additionally, each agent  $r_i$  tallies local triangles with itself as a vertex and triangles with  $(r_i, r_j)$  as an edge, where  $r_j$  is an adjacent agent to  $r_i$ .
- Phase 3 (Total Triangle Counting): In the final phase, each agent consolidates the local triangle count from every other agent, enabling the determination of the total number of triangles in the graph G.

The results attained throughout the three phases are summarized in Theorem 2.6. For details, refer to the full version [7].

#### 4 APPLICATIONS

Truss Decomposition: In truss decomposition, we compute the *trussness* for each edge in G(V, E), to obtain a partition (equivalence classes) of E, thereby obtaining the k-trusses of G for any k by taking union of the equivalent classes. Using methods from Section 3, we obtain trussness values for each edge, thus solving the TRUSS DECOMPOSITION PROBLEM. The main result of this section is provided in Theorem 2.7. For more details, refer to [7].

Triangle Centrality and Local Clustering Coefficient: Triangle Centrality, formulated in [6], identifies key vertices in a graph by assessing the concentration of triangles around each vertex. The Local Clustering Coefficient of a node measures the proximity of its neighbours to forming a clique, indicating the network's connectivity around that node. We employ the mobile agents to compute these metrics. Our results on Triangle Centrality and Local Clustering Coefficient have been summarized in Theorem 2.8 and Theorem 2.9, respectively.

#### REFERENCES

- Wali Mohammad Abdullah, David Awosoga, and Shahadat Hossain. 2022. Efficient Calculation of Triangle Centrality in Big Data Networks. In HPEC.
- [2] Esra Akbas and Peixiang Zhao. 2017. Truss-based community search: a trussequivalence based indexing approach. Proceedings of the VLDB Endowment (2017).
- [3] Ziv Bar-Yossef, Ravi Kumar, and D Sivakumar. 2002. Reductions in streaming algorithms, with an application to counting triangles in graphs. In SODA.
- [4] Luca Becchetti, Paolo Boldi, Carlos Castillo, and Aristides Gionis. 2008. Efficient semi-streaming algorithms for local triangle counting in massive graphs. In SIGKDD.
- [5] Ulrik Brandes. 2005. Network analysis: methodological foundations. Vol. 3418. Springer Science & Business Media.
- [6] Paul Burkhardt. 2021. Triangle Centrality. arXiv:2105.00110
- [7] Prabhat Kumar Chand, Apurba Das, and Anisur Rahaman Molla. 2024. Agent-Based Triangle Counting and its Applications in Anonymous Graphs. arXiv:2402.03653
- [8] Prabhat Kumar Chand, Anisur Rahaman Molla, and Sumathi Sivasubramaniam. 2023. Run for Cover: Dominating Set via Mobile Agents. In ALGOWIN.
- [9] Shantanu Das. 2019. Graph explorations with mobile agents. Distributed Computing by Mobile Entities: Current Research in Moving and Computing (2019).
- [10] Joseph E. Gonzalez, Yucheng Low, Haijie Gu, Danny Bickson, and Carlos Guestrin. 2012. PowerGraph: Distributed Graph-Parallel Computation on Natural Graphs. In OSDI.
- [11] Xin Huang, Hong Cheng, Lu Qin, Wentao Tian, and Jeffrey Xu Yu. 2014. Querying K-Truss Community in Large and Dynamic Graphs. In SIGMOD.
- [12] Songwei Jia, Lin Gao, Yong Gao, and Haiyang Wang. 2014. Anti-triangle centralitybased community detection in complex networks. *IET systems biology* (2014).
- [13] Ajay D. Kshemkalyani and Gokarna Sharma. 2021. Near-Optimal Dispersion on Arbitrary Anonymous Graphs. In OPODIS.

- [14] Matthieu Latapy. 2008. Main-memory triangle computations for very large (sparse (power-law)) graphs. *Theoretical computer science* (2008).
- [15] Fuhuan Li and David A Bader. 2021. A graphblas implementation of triangle centrality. In *HPEC*.
- [16] Ha-Myung Park, Francesco Silvestri, U Kang, and Rasmus Pagh. 2014. MapReduce Triangle Enumeration With Guarantees. In CIKM.
- [17] Debasish Pattanayak, Subhash Bhagat, Sruti Gan Chaudhuri, and Anisur Rahaman Molla. 2024. Maximal Independent Set via Mobile Agents. In ICDCN.
- [18] Subhajit Pramanick, Sai Vamshi Samala, Debasish Pattanayak, and Partha Sarathi Mandal. 2023. Filling MIS Vertices of a Graph by Myopic Luminous Robots. In ICDCIT.
- [19] Peter Sanders and Tim Niklas Uhl. 2023. Engineering a Distributed-Memory Triangle Counting Algorithm. In IPDPS.
- [20] Thomas Schank. 2007. Algorithmic aspects of triangle-based network analysis. (2007).
- [21] Siddharth Suri and Sergei Vassilvitskii. 2011. Counting Triangles and the Curse of the Last Reducer. In *WWW*.
- [22] Charalampos E Tsourakakis, Petros Drineas, Eirinaios Michelakis, Ioannis Koutis, and Christos Faloutsos. 2011. Spectral counting of triangles via element-wise sparsification and triangle-based link recommendation. *Social Network Analysis* and Mining (2011).
- [23] Chad Voegele, Yi-Shan Lu, Sreepathi Pai, and Keshav Pingali. 2017. Parallel triangle counting and k-truss identification using graph-centric methods. In HPEC.
- [24] Jia Wang and James Cheng. 2012. Truss Decomposition in Massive Networks. Proc. VLDB Endow. (2012).
- [25] Duncan J Watts and Steven H Strogatz. 1998. Collective dynamics of 'smallworld'networks. *Nature* (1998).
- [26] Jian Wu, Alison Goshulak, Venkatesh Srinivasan, and Alex Thomo. 2018. K-Truss Decomposition of Large Networks on a Single Consumer-Grade Machine. In ASONAM.