

**Bio:** Piotr Faliszewski obtained his PhD at the University of Rochester, USA in 2009. Currently he is an associate professor at the AGH University in Kraków, Poland. He works within the areas of computational social choice and algorithmic game theory. Initially, his works were predominantly theoretical, but currently they include significant experimental components.

Piotr received Friedrich Wilhelm Bessel Research Award from the Alexander von Humboldt foundation and the “Polityka” Award, granted annually to five rising stars in Polish academia. Two of his papers were nominated for the AAMAS Best Paper Award. He was invited to give an Early Career Spotlight talk at the IJCAI-2017 conference.

### **AAMAS connections and service**

Piotr has participated in most of the AAMAS conferences since 2008. Piotr has published over 20 papers in AAMAS conferences; during AAMAS-2017 he has delivered a tutorial on multiwinner voting. Since 2008, he was involved in most editions of the conference either as a PC member or as a senior PC member. For the 2020 edition, he was one of the twenty area chairs. He also served as a tutorial chair for AAMAS-2019 (jointly with Francisco Melo). Piotr’s PhD student, Piotr Skowron, was a runner-up for the 2015 Victor Lesser Distinguished Dissertation Award.

Piotr is also routinely involved in service work for other AI conferences where researchers working on multiagent systems publish their work (with a particular focus on AAI and IJCAI conferences; e.g., he was selected as a distinguished senior PC member at IJCAI-2019).

### **Agenda**

I will focus on the following issues:

1. Strengthening the scientific excellence at the AAMAS conference, by supporting the interaction of theoretical and experimental research and by supporting best research practices (e.g., by allowing appendices for missing technical details, by supporting verifiable experiments, by supporting works that provide high-quality data).
2. Strengthening the quality of reviewing, including interactions with sister conferences (e.g., by promoting highly engaged reviewers, by supporting the interactions of sub-communities that publish at AAMAS and the sister conferences, and by maintaining sanity regarding the rules for resubmitting rejected papers and recruiting reviewers).
3. Supporting early-stage researchers (e.g., by supporting events where they meet each other and other members of the community, supporting the awards for student papers, supporting extensive tutorial programs—possibly free or at very low cost for early stage researchers).